Branchiobdellid size-crab size: a possible relationship
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper was to verify a possible relationship between the size of the ectocommensal annelid Branchiobdella italica Canegallo, 1929 and that of its host, the freshwater crab Austropotamobius pallipes (Lereboullet 1858). 225 worms were collected from a crab population inhabiting a stream in central Liguria, north-western Italy. The sizes of B. italica ranged from 1 to 3.7 mm total length. The highest proportion of immature worms (41.7%) was collected from juvenile crabs (size range 11-18 mm carapace length), 15% from subadult (19-26 mm) and 3.4% from adult crabs (≥27 mm). The size-frequency distributions of worms from these three crab age groups differed significantly, except those from subadult and adult crabs. It is arguable that the scarce presence of immature branchiobdellids on subadult and adult crabs is due to the strong competition for space and food that these small worms have to withstand from co-specific adults.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The leech-like Branchiobdellidae are members of the only parasitic family of the Clitellata Annelida (Gelder et al. 1999). They are exclusively ectoparasites or commensals of crabs and a small number of other freshwater crustaceans (Alderman & Polglase 1988). In Italian inland waters, the crab Austropotamobius pallipes (Lereboullet 1858) hosts five species of branchiobdellids (Gelder et al. 1994), but up to now, only Branchiobdella italica Canegallo 1929 and B. exodonta Gruber (1883) have been found in crab populations from Liguria (Mori et al. 2000). The former, which is more abundant, is an ectocommensal species living mainly on the external surface of the crab exoskeleton; the latter, which is uncommon, is an ectoparasite found primarily in the branchial chambers of crabs (Gelder et al. 1994; Mori et al. 2000). In Ligurian crab populations, the rates of infestation of B. italica are independent of crab sex, and are greater in larger than in smaller crabs (Mori et al. 2000). Furthermore, these authors observed that some crab populations hosted larger worms than others, suggesting that this diversity could be related to pollution of the streams, crab size, and season of collection. This research was designed to verify one of these hypotheses, i.e. whether there is a relationship between branchiobdellid size and crab size.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The branchiobdellids were sampled from an A. pallipes population living in the Arvigo Stream, a small right tributary of the Bisagno Stream (central Liguria, north-western Italy). Using the method suggested by Gelder et al. (1994), the small worms were removed from the body of their hosts and placed in containers corresponding to one of three estimated age groups according to Mori et al. (1998): juveniles (size range 11-18 mm carapace length), subadults (19-26 mm) and adults (≥27 mm). Crab carapace length (CL) was measured with a slide caliper from the posterior edge of an eye socket to the distal edge of the carapace. The branchiobdellids were fixed in the field in a 5% buffered solution of formaldehyde, and were then preserved in the laboratory in 70% alcohol and measured as total length (TL) to 0.01 mm using a dissection microscope equipped with an ocular micrometer.

Immature and mature worms were separated on the basis of the dimensions of their oocytes which, according to Bondi & Facchini (1972), are mature at about 200 µm.

Body-size distributions were analysed using the Kolgomorov-Smirnov two sample test, which contrasts cumulative step functions (cf. Siegel 1956; Conover 1971). This test is sensitive to differences in dispersion, skewness, and other scale parameters, and also to differences in location parameters, e.g. means and medians (Conover 1971).

A contingency table was used to examine differences in the distribution of frequencies of branchiobdellids from all three crab age groups (Sokal & Rohlf 1981). The significance of differences between the percentages of juvenile or adult branchiobdellids from two different crab age groups was evaluated by means of a test of equality between two percentages (Sokal & Rohlf 1981, p. 765).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During this study, a total of 225 individuals of B. italica were collected and measured. A first sample of
187 worms was recorded in spring 1999: 36 from juvenile, 100 from subadult and 51 from adult crayfish. A further sample of 38 branchiobdellids was collected from adult crayfish in the autumn of the same year.

A comparison of the size-frequency distributions of *B. italica* collected from adult crayfish in spring and autumn indicated that they were not significantly different in the two seasons (Kolgomorov-Smirnov two sample test, $D=0.147$, $P<0.001$), so their sizes were pooled.

The size-frequency distributions of *B. italica* from the three crayfish age groups are shown in figure 1. The size range of *B. italica* hosted by juvenile crayfish was 1-2.7 mm, by subadults 1.1-3.3 mm, and by adults 1.5-3.7 mm. The maximum worm dimensions measured in our study are in agreement with those found by Bondi (1962) in other branchiobdellid populations of central Italy. The size-frequency distributions of worms from the three crayfish age groups differed significantly, except for those in a comparison between subadults and adults (Tab. 1).

An analysis of the data in table 2, performed by means of a contingency table, indicated that the branchiobdellid sizes were not distributed in the same proportion in the three crayfish age groups ($\chi^2= 34.7$, $P<0.01$). A comparison at pairs between the percentages of immature and mature branchiobdellids from different crayfish age groups yielded significant differences among all the variables considered (Tab. 3). The present results show that immature branchiobdellids are mainly found on juvenile crayfish, with the mature worms being found on the adult crayfish.

**Tab. 1.** Comparison between size-frequency distributions (Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample test) of *Branchiobdella italica* from three crayfish age groups (Variables). $P$ level, ***: $P<0.001$; ns: not significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kolmogorov-Smirnov</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$D$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subadult vs juvenile</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subadult vs adult</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adult vs juvenile</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 2.** Frequency (in percentage) of immature and mature branchiobdellids from three crayfish age groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crayfish</th>
<th>Branchiobdellids</th>
<th>Total number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>immature (≤1.7 mm TL)</td>
<td>mature (≥1.8 mm TL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subadult</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 3.** Comparisons at pairs between the percentages of immature and mature branchiobdellids from different crayfish age groups. $Z$: statistical value observed from the comparison between two percentages. $J= $ juvenile; $S= $ subadult; $A= $ adult crayfish. $P$ level, **: $P<0.01$; ***: $P<0.001$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immature branchiobdellids</th>
<th>Mature branchiobdellids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(≤1.7 mm TL)</td>
<td>(≥1.8 mm TL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variables</td>
<td>$Z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variables</td>
<td>$Z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$ vs $S$</td>
<td>3.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$ vs $A$</td>
<td>5.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$ vs $A$</td>
<td>2.462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are several papers in the literature which demonstrate a positive relationship between parasite size and host size (see e.g. Van Damme *et al.* 1993; Bean & Winfield 1992; Nieto & Alberto 1994; Orecchia *et al.* 1999), while unfortunately nothing is known about the size relationship between a host and its ectocommensal.

Some speculation is therefore required to explain our results. The first question is why the mature branchiobdellids were found mainly on the subadult and adult crayfish. This pattern is probably linked to the crayfish moult that occurs several times a year in juvenile crayfish, once or twice in subadult and once in adult crayfish (Laurent 1988). Thus, the exoskeleton of
subadult and adult crayfish probably offers the mature worms a substratum which is more stable and richer in food than that of juvenile crayfish (Mori et al. 2000). Further, during the molting period the crayfish remains inside its refuge, cohabiting for several days with the old exoskeleton, until the new exoskeleton has become sufficiently tough. This behaviour means that the individuals of B. italica could easily transfer from the old to the new exoskeleton (Mazzarelli 1908), so that the mature branchiobdellids may have been attached to the same crayfish since their immature stage.

In contrast, the scarce presence of immature B. italica on subadult and adult crayfish appears surprising, since the wide surface of their carapace seems a favourable substratum for settlement. Their scarcity could be due to the strong competition for space and food that these small worms have to withstand from adult co-species. In fact, Vogt (1999) found diatoms, rotifers, detritus and chironomid larvae in the gastric contents of B. pentodonta, a congener ectocommensal species of B. italica. Thus, the immature B. italica may also become food items for co-specific adults. Hence, the immature branchiobdellids that settle on the body surface of juvenile crayfish increase their chances of survival. A habitat segregation between immature and mature B. italica due to predation from large individuals of the same species seems a reasonable hypothesis, since this situation exists for various species of fish (Mazzoni & Caraschi 1995), crayfish (Mori et al. 1998) and salamanders (Salvidio et al. 1994).
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